GABA Receptors



Ian L. Martin, Norman G. Bowery and Susan M.J. Dunn

Ian Martin is Professor of Pharmacology in the School of Life and Health Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK. Norman Bowery is Emeritus Professor of Pharmacology, University of Birmingham, UK. Susan Dunn is Professor and Chair at the Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Alberta, Canada. All three authors share common interests in GABAergic transmission. E-mail: sdunn@pmcol.ualberta.ca

Contents

Historical Perspective1
The GABA _A Receptor
Distribution and Function2
Receptor Diversity2
Structure and Function4
Modulators of GABA _A Receptor Function7
The Benzodiazepines7
Steroids9
General Anesthetics9
Alcohol10
Receptors Previously Classified as $GABA_{\!\mathrm{c}}$ 10
The GABA _B Receptor
Distribution and Function 11
Molecular Characterization 11
Clinical Potential12
Conclusions
References
GABA Receptor Compounds15

Historical Perspective

GABA is the major inhibitory amino acid transmitter of the mammalian central nervous system (CNS). Essentially all neurons in the brain respond to GABA and perhaps 20% use it as their primary transmitter.1 Early electrophysiological studies, carried out using iontophoretic application of GABA to CNS neuronal preparations, showed it to produce inhibitory hyperpolarizing responses² that were blocked competitively by the alkaloid bicuculline.3 However, in the late 1970s, Bowery and his colleagues, who were attempting to identify GABA receptors on peripheral nerve terminals, noted that GABA application reduced the evoked release of noradrenalin in the rat heart and that this effect was not blocked by bicuculline. This action of GABA was mimicked, however, by baclofen (Figure 1), a compound that was unable to produce rapid hyperpolarizing responses in central neurons. This newly identified receptor was named GABA_B to differentiate it from the more familiar receptor type which became known as GABA,. 4,5 Another bicuculline-insensitive receptor was first identified using the conformationally restricted GABA analog, CACA^{6,7} (Figure 1). This receptor, previously termed GABA_c, has now been subsumed into the GABA_A receptor class, on the recommendation of the IUPHAR Nomenclature Committee.8



(Bold Text Denotes Compounds Available From Tocris)

The GABA_A Receptor

Distribution and Function

GABA_A receptors are widely but differentially distributed within the CNS.9 These receptors can be activated by a number of GABA isosteres, including muscimol and isoguvacine¹⁰ (Figure 1). After radiolabeling, some of these ligands proved valuable in the early delineation of receptor distribution. Functionally, receptor activation results in an increased membrane chloride conductance,^{11,12} usually causing an influx of CI- and membrane hyperpolarization. general, In concentrationresponse curves exhibit positive cooperativity, which is consistent with the presence of at least two agonist binding sites on each receptor molecule.13-15 On continued exposure to high agonist concentrations, the agonist-induced current decreases as a consequence of receptor desensitization.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ of the receptor, Biophysical characterization carried out initially using noise analysis of neurons in primary culture, provided the first estimates of mean single channel conductance and average channel open times,¹⁹ the latter of which varied with the nature of the activating agonist.²⁰ Development of single channel recording techniques²¹ provided further detail on the nature of single channel events with the demonstration of multiple single channel conductances: 44, 30, 19 and 12pS,²² the 30pS conductance being the most prevalent. Both channel opening times and opening frequency are dependent on agonist concentration and the competitive

SR 95531 hydrobromide, Selective, Competitive GABA_A Antagonist

SR 95531 hydrobromide	NH
Cat. No. 1262	

SR 95531 is a selective, competitive GABA_A receptor antagonist that displaces [³H]-GABA from rat brain membranes with a K_i value of 150 nM. Unlike bicuculline, SR 95531 selectively antagonizes GABA-induced Cl⁻ currents with little action on pentobarbitone-induced currents. The compound also acts as a low affinity glycine receptor antagonist.

Heaulme et al (1986) Brain Res. **384** 224. Uchida et al (1996) Eur.J.Pharmacol. **307** 89. Beato et al (2007) J.Physiol. **580** 171.

antagonist, bicuculline, reduces the conductance by modulating both of these parameters.^{23,24} Other competitive antagonists include the pyridazinyl GABA derivative, SR 95531 (Figure 2). The receptor can also be blocked non-competitively by picrotoxin and a number of bicyclophosphates.²⁵ In addition, penicillin decreases channel open probability in a manner that is compatible with open channel block.²⁶

Receptor Diversity

Purification of the bovine brain receptor in the early 1980s revealed two major subunits of the GABA_A

Figure 2 | Structures of selected GABA receptor antagonists



(Bold Text Denotes Compounds Available From Tocris)

(-)-Bicuculline methochloride, Water-soluble GABA_A Antagonist

(-)-Bicuculline methochloride Cat. No. 0131



(-)-Bicuculline methochloride is a water soluble and more stable salt of (+)-bicuculline (Cat. No. 0130) that acts as a competitive GABA_A receptor antagonist. Blocks inhibitory hyperpolarizing responses and reduces CI⁻ conductance by modulating channel opening time and frequency.

Kemp et al (1986) Br.J.Pharmacol. **87** 677. **MacDonald** et al (1989) J.Physiol. **410** 479. **Seutin and Johnson** (1999) TiPS **20** 268.

receptor, which were named α and β . Elucidation of partial amino acid sequences of these subunits allowed subunit-specific monoclonal antibodies to be raised, thus providing the opportunity to explore the fine anatomical detail of receptor distribution.²⁷ The sequence data also facilitated the cloning of the first two GABA_A receptor subunit isoforms.^{28,29}

Subsequent molecular studies revealed a multiplicity of protein subunits that have now been divided into seven classes, based on the extent of similarities in their deduced amino acid sequences. Within these classes there are further subdivisions into subunit isoforms, some of which exhibit alternate splice variants. In man, six α -, three β -, three γ - and three ρ -subunit isoforms are presently known, together with single representatives of the δ , ε , π and θ classes. Within a single subunit class, the sequence homology

is about 70% but between classes this falls to around 30%. Additional isoforms of some of these classes are known in other species.³⁰ In the earlier receptor nomenclature, the three ρ -subunits were considered to define the GABA_c receptor.⁸

Deduced amino acid sequences from each of the subunits reveal homologies and a common structural organization which places them firmly within the so-called Cys-loop ligand-gated ion channel (LGIC) family. These receptors are pentamers of homologous subunits that assemble to form a central ion channel traversing the cell membrane. The archetypal member of the family is the peripheral nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) with other members of the family including glycine and 5-HT₃ receptors. Each subunit has a long amino terminal domain of more than 200 amino acids which carries the signature cys-cys loop. This extracellular domain is followed by four hydrophobic segments, each of which is about 20 amino acids long. These four segments, termed TM1-TM4, were predicted to form transmembrane domains with TM2 contributing to formation of the ion channel lining. Between TM3 and TM4 there is a large intracellular loop, which is the most divergent part of the sequence within the GABA_A receptor subfamily.

Despite the plethora of receptor subunits, current evidence suggests that only a limited number of GABA_A receptor subunit combinations are expressed *in vivo*.³¹ Each subunit is encoded by a separate gene and a combination of *in situ* hybridization and immunohistochemical studies has revealed a distinct distribution of the various gene products in the CNS.^{32,33} This is consistent with the idea that each

Compound	GABA _A	GABA _B	GABA _c	Reference
GABA	Agonist	Agonist	Agonist	-
Muscimol	Agonist	Inactive	Partial agonist	5, 7, 158
Isoguvacine	Agonist	Inactive	Antagonist	5, 7
THIP	Agonist	Inactive	Antagonist	5, 7
P4S	Agonist	Inactive	Antagonist	5, 7
TACA	Agonist	Inactive	Agonist	7
CACA	Inactive	Inactive	Partial agonist	7
(R)-Baclofen	Inactive	Agonist	Inactive	5, 7
Bicuculline	Antagonist	Inactive	Inactive	5, 7
Picrotoxin	Antagonist	Inactive	Antagonist	5, 7
CGP 35348	Inactive	Antagonist	Inactive	159
CGP 54626	Inactive	Antagonist	Inactive	159
CGP 64213	Inactive	Antagonist	Inactive	159
SCH 50911	Inactive	Antagonist	Inactive	159
ТРМРА	Inactive	Inactive	Antagonist	7, 160, 161

Table 1 | Comparative pharmacology of GABA receptors

L-838,417, Subtype-Selective GABA_A Partial Agonist

L-838,417 Cat. No. 3250



L-838,417 is a subtype-selective GABA_A receptor partial agonist. It selectively binds to $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$ and $\alpha 5$ subunits (K_i values are 0.79, 0.67, 0.67 and 2.25 nM respectively) but displays no efficacy at $\alpha 1$ ($\alpha 1$ -sparing). The compound exhibits non-sedative anxiolytic, antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activity *in vivo*.

McCabe *et al* (2004) Neuropharmacology *46* 171. McMahon and France (2006) Br.J.Pharmacol. *147* 260. Knabl *et al* (2008) Nature *451* 330.

receptor subtype, made up of different combinations of subunits, serves defined physiological roles. In turn, this provides valuable information for development of subtype-selective pharmaceutical agents. However, an added complexity is that the expression patterns of individual subunits are not immutable. These can change during development, in response to normal physiological cycles and also as a consequence of pharmacological intervention.³⁴⁻³⁷

Most receptors in the mammalian CNS comprise α -, β - and γ -subunits, with the most ubiquitous receptor subtype containing the α 1, β 2 and γ 2 isoforms.³⁸ The recognition and functional characteristics of individual GABA_A receptor subtypes have been explored extensively using recombinant receptors expressed in mammalian cells or *Xenopus* oocytes. Many mutagenesis studies have been carried out to determine the roles of individual subunits, peptide segments and specific amino acids in receptor function. It is clear that in order to interpret mutagenic results effectively at the molecular level, it is essential to have an accurate view of the overall structure of the receptor.

Structure and Function

When the sequence homologies of many subunits of the Cys-loop LGIC family were first revealed, it seemed reasonable to predict that all members would share the same structural organization as the *Torpedo* nAChR. This is the best characterized member of the family and it has been elegantly imaged using cryoelectron microscopy, most recently at a resolution of 4Å.³⁹ It is a pentamer of homologous subunits that are arranged pseudosymmetrically around an integral ion channel. Using electron microscopy to image the purified porcine GABA_A receptor by negative staining, a pentameric structure of similar diameter (about 8 nm across the pentamer) was revealed.⁴⁰ It is now believed that the most abundant $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$

GABA_A receptor subtype comprises two copies each of the α 1- and β 2-subunits together with a single γ 2subunit.⁴¹ The arrangement of the subunits within the pentamer was first studied by concatenation,42 an approach that involves physical linking of the cDNAs encoding two or more subunits prior to their ectopic expression with other subunits. Such studies have demonstrated a pentameric subunit arrangement of β - α - β - α - γ lying in an anticlockwise direction when viewed from the outside of the cell.43 With this information, it has been possible to use the 4Å structure of the Torpedo nAChR as a template to construct in silico models of this most common GABA_A receptor subtype^{44,45} (Figures 3a and 3b). These models provide a means to explore the similarities and differences in the structure and function of different members of the GABA receptor subfamily.

The exploration of ligand recognition in the extracellular domains of the Cys-loop family of receptors has been continuing for almost four decades. In 2001, rejuvenation of interest in this area came from a somewhat unexpected source. The structure of a water-soluble acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP) from Lymnaea stagnalis was determined at 2.7Å resolution,⁴⁶ a structure that soon proved to be a valuable homolog of the extracellular segment of the nAChR and other members of the family. This protein was the first to be used as a template to model the extracellular domain of the $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ GABA_A receptor.⁴⁷ Together with the plethora of mutagenic data available in the literature at the time, this model furnished the first direct structural evidence that was compatible with the long standing idea that GABA recognition sites were located at the β - α interfaces. In addition, it rationalized a great deal of experimental data which suggested that an allosteric site for the classical benzodiazepines lies in a similar position at the adjacent α - γ interface. In the case of the GABA activation sites, the current consensus is that the primary determinants of agonist recognition are found within at least six non-contiguous stretches ('loops') of amino acids

Muscimol, Potent GABA_A Agonist

Muscimol Cat. No. 0289



Muscimol is a potent $GABA_A$ receptor agonist and partial $GABA_C$ receptor agonist. The compound inhibits memory retention via central $GABA_A$ receptors and attenuates airway constriction via peripheral $GABA_A$ receptors.

Johnstone (1996) TiPS **17** 319. Gleason *et al* (2009) J.Appl.Physiol. **106** 1257. Jafari-Sabet and Jannat-Dastjerdi (2009) Behav.Brain Res. **202** 5.

Figure 3 | Model of the $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2 \text{ GABA}_A$ receptor structure

a) View from the synapse down the long axis



Two views of the receptor folding pattern are shown above. Each $\beta 2$ - $\alpha 1$ interface carries a GABA activation site. At one such interface the $\beta 2$ subunit is colored blue and the $\alpha 1$ subunit is colored red; the other subunits are colored grey for simplicity.

c) Schematic representation of the ligand binding domain

Ligand Binding Domain

i) Juxtaposition of the recognition loops

Ligand Binding Domain

B2 β2 α1

ii) Sequence format



Transmembrane domains are coloured in light blue

The structural representations shown are compatible with Mokrab et al (2007) where further detail can be found.⁴⁵

Recognition Loops

in the extracellular domains of each subunit, loops A-C being contributed by the 'principal' subunit (β) and loops D-F by the neighboring 'subordinate' subunit (α , Figure 3c). Sequence comparisons of the 'recognition loops' in different subunits of the receptor superfamily reveals some homology. However, it is the structural divergence within these loops that provides the exquisite acuity of ligand recognition which differentiates the family members.

The value of this in silico approach has proved significant. Not only does it allow visualization of the disposition of the amino acids involved in ligand recognition, but also, using theoretical ligand docking approaches, it becomes feasible to address receptor subtype-selective ligand design, an area that is of undoubted commercial interest.⁴⁸ Since binding sites for both neurotransmitters and allosteric modulators occur at subunit-subunit interfaces, we must again consider the importance of the subunit arrangement within each pentameric receptor. As discussed above, there is considerable theoretical and experimental evidence to assume that the subunit arrangement of the $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptor is secure. However, there is no *a* priori reason to assume that less abundant receptors should adopt a similar pentameric architecture. What, for example, is the arrangement of subunits in receptor subtypes comprising $\alpha\beta\delta$ -subunits? To address this question, atomic force microscopy (AFM) was recently used to investigate the $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ subtype.49 The subunits were C-terminally tagged with different epitopes and, after ectopic expression and decoration with the appropriate antibodies, the receptor-antibody complexes were visualized by AFM. The results suggested a similar arrangement to the $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ subtype with the δ -subunit simply replacing the γ -subunit within the pentamer. However,

the possibility of heterogeneity in receptor assembly cannot be excluded and, for example, results from concatenation studies suggest that the δ -subunit may be a little more promiscuous than first suggested.⁵⁰

Comparison of the two receptor subtypes described above ($\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ and $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$) is important from both a physiological and pharmacological perspective. During the last several years, it has become clear that there are two major types of GABA receptor-mediated inhibitory responses i.e. phasic and tonic.⁵¹ Phasic inhibition results from activation of GABA, receptors that are localized primarily to the synapse, such as the abundant $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ subtype. Tonic transmission is mediated by less abundant extrasynaptic receptors, including the $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ subtype, that are thought to be activated by the low concentrations of the natural agonist which escape the efficient re-uptake machinery found in both neurons and glia. There is currently considerable interest in developing drugs that have differential effects on these two forms of inhibitory neurotransmission. Although the natural agonist, GABA, appears to be a full agonist at the $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptor, its conformationally restricted analog, THIP (also known as gaboxadol, Figure 1), is a partial agonist. In contrast, THIP is a full agonist at the $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptor where GABA acts as a partial agonist. Interestingly THIP exhibits hypnotic properties⁵² which are functionally guite distinct from those seen with the most widely used hypnotics, namely zopiclone and the α 1-selective agents, zolpidem and zaleplon. The latter compounds facilitate phasic inhibition by interacting with classical benzodiazepine-sensitive receptors at the synapse. THIP appears to produce its effects by modulating tonic inhibition mediated by extrasynaptic receptors,53 which may also be selective targets for general anesthetics.

Compound	α1β2γ2	α2β2γ2	α3β2γ2	α4β2γ2	α5β2γ2	α6β2γ2
Diazepam	16.1	16.9	17.0	> 10,000	14.9	> 10,000
Clonazepam	1.3	1.7	2.0	-	-	> 10,000
Triazolam	1.8	1.2	3.0	-	1.2	-
Bretazenil	1.2	1.2	1.3	-	2.4	-
Flumazenil	1.0	1.1	1.5	107	0.4	90
Ro 15-4513	10.4	5.5	7.8	5.0	0.5	5.1
CL 218872	130	1820	1530	> 10,000	490	> 10,000
β-CCM	1.7	6.5	4.1	-	27	2050
Zolpidem	17	291	357	-	> 15,000	-

Table 2 | Affinity of benzodiazepine site ligands for GABA_A receptor subtypes

(Bold Text Denotes Compounds Available From Tocris)

K₁ values are given in nM; hyphens are used to indicate that no comparative data is available. Note that the determinations were carried out with β2- or β3-subunit isoforms, which do not have a pronounced effect on the affinity of benzodiazepine site ligands. Information is abstracted from references 60 and 162.

Modulators of GABA_A Receptor Function

The Benzodiazepines

The therapeutic importance of the benzodiazepines has been a significant impetus to GABA_A receptor research. Classical benzodiazepines potentiate agonist-mediated activation of the GABA receptor by causing a parallel leftward shift of the GABA concentration-response curve. In 1976, the discovery of saturable, high affinity binding sites for [³H]-diazepam in the brain^{54,55} provided an important experimental tool for their study. All of the overt effects of the benzodiazepines: sedative, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, muscle relaxant and amnestic, are mediated by the GABA_A receptors. However, not all the GABA_A receptors recognize the benzodiazepines. The particular α -subunit isoform present within an individual GABA_A receptor subtype is the primary determinant of benzodiazepine recognition (Table 2). If the α 1-subunit of the most common GABA_A receptor $(\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2)$ is replaced by $\alpha 4$ or $\alpha 6$ the receptor fails to recognize the classical benzodiazepines. It is now clear from both biochemical and mutational analysis

that this insensitivity can be attributed to a single amino acid substitution in the extracellular N-terminal domain: a histidine (H101) in the α 1-, α 2-, α 3- and α 5-subunits is replaced by an arginine residue in α 4 and $\alpha 6.56,57$ When receptors containing the former subunits are expressed with a β - and γ 2-subunit, all are recognized by the classical benzodiazepines. However, several agents differentiate between the subtypes on the basis of the particular α -subunit isoform present in the pentamer. The first of these compounds to be identified was the triazolopyridazine CL 218872⁵⁸ (Figure 4), which is related to the recently introduced hypnotic, zaleplon. Similarly β-carboline-3-carboxylic acid esters also show a preference for certain a-subunit-containing receptors.⁵⁹ Zolpidem (Figure 4), currently the most widely prescribed hypnotic in the USA, has been shown to have high affinity for a1-containing receptors, lower affinity for receptors carrying $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 3$ very low affinity for those containing $\alpha 5^{60,61}$ (Table 2) and no observable interaction with receptors which contain the α 4- or α 6-subunits.

Figure 4 | Structures of selected benzodiazepine site ligands



Flumazenil, Benzodiazepine Antagonist

Flumazenil Cat. No. 1328



OE

Flumazenil is a benzodiazepine antagonist that is nonselective for $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$ or $\alpha 5$ -containing GABA_A receptors. The compound reverses benzodiazepine sedation and is centrally active upon systemic administration *in vivo*.

Polc et al (1981) Naunyn-Schmied.Arch.Pharmacol. 316 317. Atack et al (1999) Neuropsychopharmacology 20 255. Doble (1999) J.Psychopharmacol. 13 S11.

Using knockin (KI) technology, the importance of the a-subunit histidine-arginine substitution has been turned into an advantage. The exquisite specificity of this switch dictates that, by replacing the $\alpha 1$ histidine with an arginine (H101R) in the germ line, the KI adult animals will differ from their wild-type counterparts only in the ability of their α 1-containing receptors to recognize the benzodiazepines. Thus, it was expected that characterization of the knockin mouse phenotype would allow the complex pharmacological effects of benzodiazepines to be dissected based on their interactions with specific GABA_A receptor subtypes. Extensions of this approach have proved particularly valuable; it is now clear that the α 1-subunit is responsible for the sedative, anterograde amnestic and some of the anticonvulsant effects of the benzodiazepines,62,63 whereas the α 2-subunit has been associated with

their anxiolytic actions.⁶⁴ Not all of the results are clear cut; for example, the pharmacodynamic profile of the α 3-selective ligand, TP003, suggests a contribution of this subunit to both anxiolytic and anticonvulsant effects.^{65,66} Also, receptors containing the α 5-subunit have been implicated in learning and memory processes.67 This approach has been significantly advanced recently using conditional knockin studies, which have revealed selective changes in the ability of GABA_A receptors within particular cell groups to recognize the hypnotic, zolpidem.68 Unfortunately, attempts to delineate the functional importance of individual GABA_A receptor subunits using gene knockout technology have proved frustrating. It is clear that ablation of subunit expression frequently results in compensatory changes in the expression of other subunits, providing significant challenges in assigning specific responsibility for the resulting phenotype.38

Perhaps one of the most interesting phenomenological observations to arise from studies of benzodiazepine interactions with the GABA receptors has been the development of the inverse agonist concept. Non-benzodiazepine ligands were discovered that were able to displace a radiolabeled benzodiazepine from its binding sites. One of the first of these was ethyl β-carboline-3-carboxylate $(\beta$ -CCE) which was shown to have effects that were diametrically opposed to those of the classical benzodiazepines e.g. it is proconvulsant. This led to a new terminology; β-CCE became known as an inverse agonist with the classical benzodiazepines then being classified as agonists.69,70 In vitro

Figure 5 | Structures of selected compounds active at allosteric sites of GABA_A receptors



electrophysiological experiments using inverse agonists show that they shift the GABA concentrationresponse curve to the right, decreasing the potency of the natural transmitter. Thus, while the agonist benzodiazepine site ligands increase channel opening frequency, the inverse agonists decrease it.71 The full efficacy spectrum is found within the β-carboline series: the ethyl ester is proconvulsant and thus acts as a partial inverse agonist, the propyl ester is essentially devoid of efficacy leading it to be termed an antagonist,⁷² while aromatic substitution in the A ring produces agonists with similar properties to the classical benzodiazepines⁷³ (Figure 4). The therapeutic potential afforded by the inverse agonist concept has not escaped the attention of the pharmaceutical industry with the development of partial inverse agonists selective for α 5-containing receptor subtypes as cognition enhancers.74

Steroids

The observation that 5α -pregnan- 3α -ol-11,20-dione (alphaxalone; Figure 5), a synthetic steroidal anesthetic, was able to enhance stimulation-evoked inhibition produced by GABA_A receptor agonists in rat cuneate nucleus slices,75 was the first evidence for allosteric steroid sites on these receptors. Subsequent voltage clamp studies conducted on both neurons and adrenomedullary chromaffin cells76,77 confirmed the stereoselective activity of the progesterone metabolites 5α -pregnan- 3α -ol-20one (allopregnanolone), 5β -pregnan- 3α -ol-20-one (pregnanolone) and 5α -pregnan- 3α ,21-diol-20-one (allotetrahydrodeoxycorticosterone). Mechanistically, the action of these compounds appeared to be similar to that of the barbiturates which, at low concentrations, potentiate the effects of GABA by increasing channel open times and, at higher concentrations, directly activate the receptor.78-82 Later studies revealed that the sites of barbiturate and steroid action are distinct.83 Conserved residues within the α - and β -subunit membrane spanning domains of the $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptor, which are important for both steroid facilitation and direct activation, have been identified.84

Studies with ectopically expressed receptors comprising $\alpha\beta\gamma$ -subunits demonstrated limited impact of subunit composition on the functional effects of the steroids.⁸⁵At putative extrasynaptic receptors, where δ replaces the γ -subunit, there is evidence for increased steroid potency.⁸⁶ However, these observations may be explained, at least in part, by the reduced efficacy of the endogenous neurotransmitter, GABA, at these receptor subtypes.⁸⁷ There have been many literature reports to demonstrate that the potency of steroids varies in different brain regions and there is also evidence to suggest that the observed effects may be influenced by changes in receptor phosphorylation and modulation of enzymatic activity in the steroid

Alphaxalone, Direct Activator and Potentiator of GABA

Alphaxalone Cat. No. 3046



Alphaxalone is a neurosteroid anesthetic that directly activates and potentiates the GABA_A receptor-activated membrane current (I_{GABA}). Efficacy, but not potency, of this compound is determined by the alpha subunit of the receptor (EC₅₀ values are 1.4, 1.8, 2.1, 2.4 and 2.5 μ M for α 1 β 1 γ 3, α 1 β 1 γ 1, β 1 γ 1, α 2 β 1 γ 2L and α 1 β 1 γ 2L isoforms respectively).

Maitra et al (1999) Brain Res. 819 75. Wegner et al (2007) Neuropharmacology 52 672. Zecharia et al (2009) J.Neurosci. 29 2177.

metabolic pathways.⁸⁸ This functional complexity is amplified further by normal physiological fluctuations in steroid levels associated with, for example, pregnancy and the ovarian cycle. These can lead to altered patterns of subunit expression that may contribute to the mood swings that are associated with these events.^{89,37}

General Anesthetics

It is now clear that GABA_A receptors play a significant role in general anesthesia. Many of the receptor subtypes are sensitive to clinically relevant concentrations of general anesthetics and exhibit the appropriate stereospecificity. The characteristics of these agents are diffuse; they exhibit sedative, hypnotic, analgesic and amnestic properties in addition to producing a loss of mobility.90 This multiplicity of effects, together with their structural diversity, has meant that it is very difficult to dissect the actions of general anesthetics at the molecular level. One agent, ketamine, mainly affects glutamatergic excitatory responses mediated by NMDA receptors and there is no evidence that the older anesthetics, nitrous oxide and xenon, modulate GABAergic inhibition. The evidence for interactions of other inhalational and intravenous agents with the GABA_A receptors continues to grow. Since general anesthetics are hydrophobic and need to access the CNS, it is perhaps not surprising that they target hydrophobic pockets within the transmembrane domains of the receptor. Initial evidence suggested that the inhalational anesthetics favored the α-subunits⁹¹ while in vitro and in vivo evidence has accumulated to suggest that intravenous anesthetics interact with the ß-subunits.92,93 Over the past decade it has become increasingly clear that significant effects of the general anesthetics occur not by their ability to potentiate the fast phasic inhibition mediated by synaptically located receptors but as a result of their effects on receptors that are located extrasynaptically. The extrasynaptic $\alpha 5\beta 3\gamma 2$ receptor in the hippocampus is probably associated

with the amnestic actions of many of these agents,⁹⁴ while those receptors containing δ -subunits in the ventrobasal thalamic nucleus provide the intriguing link between the reversible loss of consciousness in man, a sleep-related phenomenon that is a primary characteristic induced by the general anesthetics.⁹⁵ It is clear that the diversity of agents and targets provide valuable clues that must be addressed systematically to optimize the potential for development of novel anesthetic agents.⁹⁶

Alcohol

The receptors responsible for the pharmacological effects of ethanol have been the subject of much speculation but there are only a small number of putative targets that are responsive to low concentrations of ethanol (< 20 mM). Initial observations of altered ethanol-induced behaviors in δ-subunit knockout mice97 were followed by in vitro studies of recombinant GABA_A receptors. There was significant excitement when it was reported that agonist activation of δ -containing GABA_A receptors could be facilitated by 10 mM ethanol;98,99 however, replication of this response has not proved possible and these discrepancies remain unexplained.¹⁰⁰ It has been suggested that many of the *in vivo* effects of ethanol may be attributed to indirect effects arising from its ability to increase levels of several endogenous steroids which, in turn, can potentiate GABA_A receptor-mediated responses. Evidence in favor of this idea comes from observations that, not only do the consequential effects of ethanol correlate well with an increase in steroid levels, but they are also inhibited by blockers of steroid synthesis.¹⁰¹

Phosphorylation may again play a significant role since it has been noted that in PKC δ knockout mice, the pharmacological effects of ethanol are reduced as are the ataxic responses to both pentobarbital and pregnanolone. Since the flunitrazepam response remained intact in these animals, it was suggested that the overt effects were mediated by benzodiazepine insensitive GABA_A receptors. Supplementary studies showed that the PKC δ -dependent effects of ethanol could be observed in ectopically expressed $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors.¹⁰² Thus, assignment of the effects of ethanol to specific GABA_A receptors remains enigmatic.

Receptors Previously Classified as GABA_c

Although the receptors that were originally designated as GABA_c are now considered to be members of the GABA_A family,⁸ it is useful to highlight their distinguishing features. These receptors were originally classified on the basis of their unique pharmacology. The natural agonist, GABA, was reported to be about an order of magnitude more potent at this subclass than at other GABA_A receptors and, although CACA activated this

receptor, this agent was not recognized by either the $GABA_A$ or $GABA_B$ classes (Figure 1). $GABA_C$ receptor responses were not inhibited by bicuculline but, like the GABA_A receptors, they were blocked by picrotoxin. A selective GABA_c receptor antagonist, (1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine-4-yl)methylphosphinic acid⁷ (TPMPA, Figure 2) was later identified. Additional pharmacological differences from the GABA_A receptors included its lack of modulation by the benzodiazepines, barbiturates or neuroactive steroids. Receptors displaying these characteristics were shown to have a restricted distribution, initially being found in the spinal cord and subsequently in the retina,^{6,103} the source from which the first ρ -subunit was cloned.¹⁰⁴ Three homologous ρ -subunits, ρ 1 to p3, have now been identified. These can be expressed as either homomers or heteromers^{105,106} and the ectopically expressed receptors exhibit the pharmacological characteristics of the elusive GABA_c receptors. There is only limited evidence that the ρ -subunits co-assemble with any of the other GABA_A receptor subunits.¹⁰⁷ The genes encoding the ρ 1- and ρ 2-subunits are found on chromosome 6 of man, and are thus distinct from the clusters of GABA_A receptor subunit genes which are found on chromosomes 4, 5, 15 and X with the exception of δ , which is found on chromosome 1. The ρ -subunit sequences display between 30 and 38% homology to the GABA_A receptor subunits at the amino acid level but, interestingly, in the important TM2 region of the sequence, they show greater homology to the glycine $\alpha\text{-subunits}$ than to any of the GABA_{A} receptor subunits.

TPMPA, Selective GABA_c Antagonist

TPMPA Cat. No. 1040

TPMPA is a selective, competitive GABA_c antagonist which exhibits only minimal effects on GABA_A and GABA_B receptors (K_b values are 2.1 μ M (antagonist), 320 μ M (antagonist) and EC₅₀ ~ 500 μ M (weak agonist) respectively). It displays 8-fold selectivity for human recombinant ρ 1 receptors over ρ 2 receptors and blocks the paired-pulse depression component of inhibitory post-synaptic currents *in vitro*.

Murata et al (1996) Bioorg.Med.Chem.Lett. 6 2073. Chebib et al (1998) Eur. J.Pharmacol. 357 227. Xu et al (2009) Exp.Neurol. 216 243.

The GABA_B Receptor

The other major class of GABA receptors is the metabotropic (G-protein-coupled) $GABA_B$ receptor. These exhibit a distinct ligand recognition profile to the $GABA_A$ receptor family,⁴ and are differentially distributed within the mammalian CNS.¹⁰⁸ Functionally they inhibit adenylyl cyclase activity¹⁰⁹

and presynaptic calcium channels, decreasing transmitter release,¹¹⁰ and activate postsynaptic potassium channels, producing the late inhibitory postsynaptic potential.¹¹¹

Distribution and Function

The initial observation that GABA inhibited the release of noradrenalin from rat atria in vitro, an effect not blocked by bicuculline methobromide but mimicked by (R)-baclofen, provided the seminal evidence to distinguish the GABA_B receptor from more familiar members of the GABA_A receptor family.⁴ Subsequent studies, using both functional and radioligand binding techniques, have further refined the structureactivity profile at GABA_B receptors¹¹² (Figures 1 and 2). Although the receptor is widely distributed within the mammalian CNS it is generally found at lower densities than GABA_A receptors, and exhibits a distinct distribution: the highest concentrations being found in the molecular layer of the cerebellum, the frontal cortex and certain thalamic nuclei.¹⁰⁸ The receptor is also found in the periphery where its activation modulates autonomic control of the intestine and decreases esophageal reflux.^{112,113} The receptor is coupled to adenylyl cyclase via G_i and G_o proteins. While the consequences remain poorly defined, activation of presynaptic GABA_B receptors also leads to the inhibition of high voltage-activated Ca²⁺ channels, an effect that is mediated by the G protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits. This results in decreased transmitter release and possibly also limits synaptic vesicle recruitment to the active zone.¹¹⁴

GABA activation of postsynaptic GABA_B receptors produces hyperpolarization via the modulation of inwardly rectifying $K_{IR}3$ type K⁺ channels¹¹⁵ that mediate the late phase of the inhibitory postsynaptic potential.

RuBi-GABA, Caged GABA; Excitable by Visible Wavelength

RuBi-GABA Cat. No. 3400



RuBi-GABA (Ruthenium-bipyridine-triphenylphosphine caged GABA) is excited by visible wavelengths and has two-photon uncaging capabilities. It provides greater tissue penetration, less phototoxicity, faster photorelease kinetics and better spatial resolution than UV light-sensitive caged compounds. Following photolysis, the compound produces GABA receptor-mediated currents in pyramidal neurons *in vitro* and displays no effect on endogenous GABAergic or glutamatergic transmission at concentrations effective for uncaging.

Zayat et al (2003) J.Am.Chem.Soc. 125 882. Nikolenko et al (2005) Chem. Comm. 7 1752. Rial Verde et al (2008) Front.Neural Circuits 2 2.

Molecular Characterization

The molecular characterization of the GABA_B receptor was achieved in 1997 when the availability of specific high affinity antagonists allowed the expression cloning of the GABA_{B1} subunit.¹¹⁶ Subsequent studies demonstrated that while this protein showed many of the expected characteristics, when expressed ectopically, it coupled poorly to its effector machinery, and exhibited a remarkably low affinity for agonists compared to the native receptor; it appeared to be retained within the endoplasmic reticulum.¹¹⁷ Subsequent studies revealed the identity of an additional subunit, GABA_{B2}, ¹¹⁸⁻¹²⁰ which interacts with the GABA_{B1} subunit C-terminus, masking the ER retention signal of the GABA_{B1} subunit¹²¹ and facilitating the trafficking of the $GABA_{\rm B1}$ subunit to the cell surface. This provided the first secure evidence of receptor dimerization.

The $GABA_{B}$ receptor belongs to the class C of the GPCRs, together with the metabotropic glutamate receptors mGlu₁₋₈ and the calcium-sensing receptor.¹²² Each subunit comprises a large Nterminal extracellular domain exhibiting the venus fly-trap motif, followed by 7-transmembrane helices and an intracellular C-terminus. Two splice variants for the GABA_{B1} subunit are known, they are encoded by the same gene and arise by alternate promoter usage to produce $\mathsf{GABA}_{\scriptscriptstyle\!\mathsf{B1a}}$ and $\mathsf{GABA}_{\scriptscriptstyle\!\mathsf{B1b}}\!.^{123}$ These differ only in their N-terminal domains, GABA_{B1a} contains a repeat of a conserved protein binding motif, so-called 'sushi domains', that are lacking in $GABA_{B1b}$; the first 147 amino acids of $GABA_{B1a}$ are replaced by 18 amino acids in GABA_{B1b}¹²⁴.

While both subunits within the GABA_B heterodimer exhibit the venus fly-trap motif at the extracellular N-terminus, it is the GABA_{B1} subunit that is responsible for both agonist and antagonist recognition; the residues responsible are not conserved in the GABA_{B2} subunit.¹²⁵ Within this recognition domain there is also a serine residue that appears to be responsible for the ability of the receptor to sense Ca²⁺ concentrations.¹²⁶ While the GABA_{B2} subunit is not primarily responsible for agonist recognition, its presence markedly increases the agonist affinity of the $GABA_{B1}$ subunit.¹²⁷ The $GABA_{B2}$ subunit mediates Gprotein-coupling, the second intracellular loop being particularly important, 128, 129 although it is clear that GABA_{B1} is important in facilitating this process. It is the GABA_{B2} subunit that appears to be the interaction site for an increasing family of positive allosteric modulators¹³⁰ (Figure 6), where binding occurs within the transmembrane domain¹³¹ to augment agonist tone while exhibiting no direct agonist activity.132

The restricted molecular heterogeneity found in the $GABA_B$ receptor population has proved a significant frustration, since ectopic expression studies have failed to provide support for the varied functional

responses ascribed to these receptors in vivo.133 Knockout studies targeting GABA_{B1} or GABA_{B2} have not relieved these difficulties, both deletions producing similar phenotypes, although each compromised the expression of the conjugate subunit.^{134,135} Functional distinctions between the GABA_{B1} subunit isoforms have started to emerge suggesting that the GABA_{B1a} isoform is primarily associated with the heteroceptors controlling glutamate release.136-139 It has been suggested that this differential cellular localization may be associated with the presence of the sushi repeats, present in GABA_{B1a} but not in GABA_{B1b}, that are known to be important in protein-protein interactions in other environments.¹⁴⁰ Interestingly it has recently been shown that a soluble truncated form of the GABA_{B1a} subunit, named GABA_{B1i}, exhibits nanomolar affinity for neuronal membranes. It is identical to the first 157 amino acids of the GABA_{B1a} subunit and contains the sushi repeats together with a 72 amino acid C-terminal extension with no homology to other known proteins. In its presence both basal and stimulated glutamate release are decreased, but GABA_B receptor function at presynaptic autoreceptors or postsynaptic receptors remains unaffected.141

Clinical Potential

Baclofen remains the only clinically available agent that targets the $GABA_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ receptor. It was introduced into clinical practice in 1972 long before the discovery of the GABA_B receptor and remains the intervention of choice in spasticity associated with multiple sclerosis and cerebral palsy. Baclofen exhibits a challenging side effect profile on systemic administration, producing drowsiness, nausea, muscle weakness and mental confusion largely due to poor brain penetration necessitating the use of high oral doses.142 The muscle relaxant effects mediated within the spinal cord can be secured by intrathecal administration, allowing a marked reduction in dose thus limiting the systemic effects and the development of tolerance.¹⁴³ Baclofen also exhibits antinociceptive properties at the spinal level,

GS 39783, Positive Modulator at GABA_B Receptors

GS 39783 Cat. No. 2001

MeS N

GS 39783 is a positive allosteric modulator of GABA_B receptor function. It potentiates the effects of GABA on [³⁵S]GTP_γS binding at recombinant and native GABA_B receptors (EC₅₀ values are 2.1 and 3.1 μ M respectively). The compound decreases cocaine self-administration, blocks the rewarding properties of nicotine and produces anxiolytic-like activity without the side effects associated with baclofen or benzodiazepines *in vivo*.

Urwyler *et al* (2003) J.Pharmacol.Exp.Ther. **307** 322. **Cryan** *et al* (2004) J.Pharmacol.Exp.Ther. **310** 952. **Mombereau** *et al* (2007) J.Pharmacol.Exp. Ther. **321** 172.

which again allows local administration,¹⁴⁴ however significant analgesia is also mediated within the ventrobasal nucleus of the thalamus,¹⁴⁵ a site which requires systemic administration. The analgesic effects of baclofen currently have limited application in humans.¹⁴⁶

The early specific GABA_B receptor antagonists suffered from a limited potency, with phaclofen, for example, displaying an affinity of only 100 μ M. A number of selective, high affinity and systemically active antagonists are now available (Figure 2), that may have significant clinical potential in absence epilepsy.¹⁴² Mice overexpressing the GABA_{B1a} isoform exhibit characteristics associated with atypical absence epilepsy.¹⁴⁷ In contrast, recent reports suggest that impaired GABA_B receptor function may contribute to repetitive firing in human temporal lobe epilepsy tissue.¹⁴⁸ The first exploration of GABA_B receptor antagonists clinically was an open trial with SGS 742 (CGP 36742) in mild cognitive deficit in man.¹⁴⁹ While the initial results

Figure 6 | Structures of selected allosteric modulators of the GABA_B receptor

rac BHFF (Cat. No. 3313)



CGP 7930 (Cat. No. 1513)



CGP 13501 (Cat. No. 1514)



GS 39783 (Cat. No. 2001)

(Bold Text Denotes Compounds Available From Tocris)

appeared promising further clinical reports have not reached the literature. Recent studies suggest that mechanistically phospho-protein kinase A (pPKA) plays a significant role in the effects of this antagonist in the Morris water maze.¹⁵⁰

It has been known for some time that GABA_B receptor activation effectively reduces the craving for addictive drugs, first demonstrated as a reduction in cocaine self-administration in rats,¹⁵¹ and similar findings have emerged with other drugs of abuse.¹⁴² Positive allosteric modulators at the receptor may prove to be a more attractive means of control. These agents could reasonably be expected to facilitate GABA_B receptor mediated tone circumventing the side effect profile associated with the use of systemic agonists. Indeed, recent studies suggest that compounds of this type significantly reduce cocaine self-administration in rats^{152,153} (Figure 5), with similar approaches providing some support for their potential as anxiolytics.¹⁵⁴

Rac BHFF, Potent, Selective GABA_B Positive Allosteric Modulator

Rac BHFF Cat. No. 3313



Rac BHFF is a potent and selective GABA_B receptor positive allosteric modulator that increases the potency and efficacy of GABA (> 15-fold and > 149% respectively). The compound exhibits anxiolytic activity *in vivo* and is orally active.

Malherbe et al (2008) Br.J.Pharmacol. 154 797.

The GABA_B receptors remain somewhat enigmatic, promissory notes of significant therapeutic potential have not thus far materialized and it has been argued that the lack of readily differentiable receptor subtypes has limited the opportunity for discrete drug targeting. Evidence for their functional importance continues to expand with recent studies highlighting their impact on both the tegmental pedunculopontine nucleus, important in the acute rewarding effects of the opiates¹⁵⁵ and orexin neurons, associated with sleep/ wakefulness cycles.¹⁵⁶ Clinical applications remain elusive; perhaps the allosteric modulators may yet prove as valuable in the modulation of tonic activity at the GABA_B receptors as the benzodiazepines within the GABA_A receptor family. Recent development of novel GABA_B receptor agonists, the structure of which effectively restricts them to the peripheral compartment, are currently under investigation for intervention in gastroesophageal reflux in man, after proving efficacious and importantly devoid of the baclofen-associated central side effects in preclinical studies.157

CGP 55845, Potent, Selective GABA_B Antagonist

CGP 55845 Cat. No. 1248



CGP 55845 is a potent, selective GABA_B receptor antagonist ($IC_{50} = 5 \text{ nM}$) that prevents agonist binding ($pK_i = 8.35$) and inhibits GABA and glutamate release (pEC_{50} values are 8.08 and 7.85 respectively). The compound inhibits GABA_B receptor responses to baclofen ($IC_{50} = 130 \text{ nM}$ in an isoproterenol assay) and potentiates the hypoglycemic response to glucose *in vitro*.

Waldmeier et al (1994) Br.J.Pharmacol. **113** 1515. Cunninghan and Enna (1996) Brain Res. **720** 220. Zhang et al (2009) J.Physiol. **578** 735.

Conclusions

Despite the overwhelming representation of the GPCRs in the human genome, it is the ionotropic receptor for the major inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA that has achieved the most visibility to date. Its serendipitous exploitation within the clinical arena has stimulated a plethora of intriguing insights into the mechanisms by which communication within the nervous system is achieved and the nuances of modulation which provide opportunities for further refinement of pharmacological intervention. The paucity of molecular heterogeneity exhibited by the GABA_B receptors has proved problematic for specific drug targeting. The functional characterization of this receptor in the mammalian system was predicted to lead to significant clinical developments. Although this goal has not yet been achieved, recent research provides novel opportunities for therapeutic intervention. The next decade will undoubtedly prove exciting.

NNC 711, Selective Inbhibitor of GAT-1

NNC 711 Cat. No. 1779



NNC 711 is a potent and selective inhibitor of GABA uptake by GAT-1 (IC₅₀ values are 0.04, 171, 1700 and 622 μ M for hGAT-1, rGAT-2, hGAT-3 and hBGT-1 respectively). The inhibitor displays anticonvulsant activity following systemic administration *in vivo*.

Suzdak et al (1992) Eur.J.Pharmacol. 223 189. Borden et al (1994) Eur. J.Pharmacol. 269 219. O'Connell et al (2001) Eur.J.Pharmacol. 424 37.

References

- Somogyi et al (1998) Brain Res.Rev. 26 113.
- 2 Krnjevic and Schwartz (1967) Exp.Brain Res. 3 320.
- 3. Curtis et al (1970) Nature 226 1222. Bowery et al (1980) Nature 283 92.
- 4. Hill and Bowery (1981) Nature 290 149. 5.
- Johnston et al (1975) J.Neurochem. 24 157. 6.
- Johnston (1996) TiPS 17 319. 7
- Barnard et al (1998) Pharmacol.Rev. 65 68-76. 8.
- Palacios et al (1981) Brain Res. 222 285 9.
- Ebert et al (1997) Mol.Pharmacol. 52 1150. 10
- Curtis et al (1968) Exp.Brain Res. 5 1. 11
- Kelly et al (1969) Exp.Brain Res. 7 11 12
- Dichter (1980) Brain.Res. 190 111. 13.
- 14. Sakmann et al (1983) J.Neural Transm. 18 83.
- 15. Bormann and Clapham (1985) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 82 2168.
- Adams and Brown (1975) J.Physiol. 250 85. 16
- Akaike et al (1987) J.Physiol. 391 219. 17.
- 18. Mathers (1987) Synapse 1 96.
- Barker et al (1982) J.Physiol. 322 365 19.
- Barker and Mathers (1981) Science 212 358. 20.
- Hamill et al (1981) Pflugers Arch. 391 85. 21.
- 22. Bormann et al (1987) J.Physiol. 385 243.
- Macdonald et al (1989) J.Physiol. 410 479 23.
- 24. Twyman et al (1990) J.Physiol. 423 193
- Bowery et al (1976) Br.J.Pharmacol. 57 435. 25.
- 26 Twyman et al (1992) J.Physiol. 445 97
- 27. Richards et al (1987) J.Neurosci. 7 1866
- Stephenson and Barnard (1986) in Benzodiazepine/GABA chloride 28. channels: structural and functional properties. p261 Alan R Liss.
- Schofield et al (1987) Nature 328 221 29.
- 30. Hevers and Luddens (1998) Mol.Neurobiol. 18 35.
- Olsen and Sieghart (2008) Pharmacol.Rev. 60 243. 31.
- Wisden et al (1992) J.Neurosci. 12 1040 32. Pirker et al (2000) Neuroscience 101 815.
- 33. 34
- Laurie (1992) J.Neurosci. 12 4151 .
- Holt et al (1996) Neuropharmacology 35 1457. 35.
- 36
- Smith et al (1998) J.Neurosci. 18 5275. Griffiths and Lovick (2005) Neuroscience 136 457. 37.
- Rudolph and Mohler (2004) Ann.Rev.Pharmacol.Toxicol. 44 475. 38.
- 39. Unwin (2005) J.Mol.Biol. 346 967.
- 40. Nayeem et al (1994) J.Neurochem. 62 815.
- Farrar et al (1999) J.Biol.Chem. 274 10100 41
- Im (1995) J.Biol.Chem. 270 26063 42.
- Baumann (2002) J.Biol.Chem. 277 46020. 43.
- Ernst et al (2005) Mol.Pharmacol. 68 1291 44.
- Ernst et al (2005) Mol.Pharmacol. 68 1291. Mokrab et al (2007) J.Mol.Graph Model. 26 760. Brejc et al (2001) Nature 411 269. Cromer et al (2002) TiBS 27 280. 45.
- 46
- 47
- 48 Clayton et al (2007) Curr.Med.Chem. 14 2755.
- 49 Barrera et al (2008) Mol.Pharmacol. 73 960.
- 50. Kaur (2009) J.Biol.Chem. 284 7889
- Farrant and Nusser (2005) Nature Neurosci.Rev. 6 215. 51.
- 52 Krogsgaard-Larson et al (2004) Biochem. Pharmacol. 68 1573.
- 53 Drasbek and Jensen (2006) Cereb.Cortex 16 1134 .
- 54 Squires and Braestrup (1976) Nature 266 732
- Mohler and Okada (1977) Science 198 849. 55.
- 56. Wieland et al (1992) J.Biol.Chem. 267 1426.
- Duncalfe et al (1996) J.Biol.Chem. 271 9209. 57.
- Squires et al (1979) Pharmacol.Biochem.Behav. 10 825. 58
- 59. Nielsen and Braestrup (1980) Nature 286 606.
- 60.
- Hadingham *et al* (1993) Mol.Pharmacol. **43** 970. Sanger *et al* (1994) Neurosci.Behav.Rev. **18** 355. 61.
- Rudolph et al (1999) Nature 401 796 62.
- McKernan et al (2000) Nature Neurosci. 3 587. 63.
- 64. Low et al (2000) Science 290 131.
- 65. Dias et al (2005) J.Neurosci. 25 10682.
- Fradley et al (2007) J.Psychopharmacol. 21 384. 66
- Collinson et al (2000) Eur.J.Neurosci. 12 171. 67.
- Wulff et al (2007) Nature Neurosci. 10 923. 68.
- 69. Tenen and Hirsch (1980) Nature 288 609.
- Cowan et al (1981) Nature 290 54. 70.
- Barker et al (1984) in Actions and interactions of GABA and the 71. benzodiazepines. p203 Publ Raven Press
- Since the set of the 72.
- 73
- Sternfield et al (2004) J.Med.Chem. 47 2176 74.
- 75. Harrison and Simmonds (1984) Brain.Res. 323 287.
- 76. Cottrell et al (1987) Br.J.Pharmacol. 90 491.
- 77. Harrison et al (1987) J.Neurosci. 7 604.

14

- 78. Study and Barker (1981) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 78 7180.
- Twyman et al (1989) Ann.Neurol. 25 213. 79
- Callachan et al (1987) Neurosci.Letts. 29 S21. 80

- Nicoll et al (1975) Nature 258 625. 81
- Simmonds (1981) Br.J.Pharmacol 73 739. 82
- Peters et al (1988) Br.J.Pharmacol. 94 1257. 83
- Hosie et al 2006 Nature 444 486. 84
- 85 Belelli et al (2006) Neuroscience 138 821.
- Brown et al (2002) Br.J.Pharmacol. 136 965. 86
- 87 Bianchi and MacDonald (2003) J.Neurosci. 23 10934.
- 88 Herd et al (2007) Pharmacol. Ther. 116 20.
- 89 Koksma et al (2003) J.Neurosci. 23 788.
- Bonin and Orser (2008) Pharmacol.biochem.behav. 90 105. 90.
- Nishikawa et al (2002) Neuropharmacology 42 337 91. Belelli et al (1997) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 94 11031. 92
- Jurd et al (2003) FASEB J. 17 250. 93
- Cheng et al (2006) J.Neurosci. 26 3713 94

101.

102.

103.

104.

105.

106

107.

108

109.

110.

111.

112.

113.

114.

115

116.

117.

118.

119

120

121.

122.

123.

124.

125.

126.

127.

128.

129.

130

131.

132.

133.

134.

135.

136.

137.

138.

139.

140.

141.

143.

144

145.

146

147.

148.

149.

150.

151.

152.

153.

154.

155.

156.

157

158

159.

160.

161.

162.

- Franks (2008) Nature Neurosci.Rev. 9 370. 95
- 96 Zeller et al (2007) BMC Pharmacol. 7 2
- Mihalek et al (2001) Alcohol Clin.Exp.Res. 25 1708. 97

Morrow et al (2001) Brain Res.Rev. 37 98.

Enz and Cutting (1998) Vision Res. 38 1431

Bowery et al (1987) Neuroscience 20 365.

Dunlap and Fishbach (1981) J.Physiol. 317 519.

Newberry and Nicoll (1985) J.Physiol. 360 161.

Bowery et al (2002) Pharmacol. Rev. 54 247.

Sakaba and Neher (2003) Nature 424 775.

Ong and Kerr (1990) Life Sci. 46 1489

Kaupmann et al (1997) Nature 368 239

Kaupmann *et al* (1998) Nature **396** 683. White *et al* (1998) Nature **396** 679.

Bettler et al (2004) Physiol.Rev. 84 835 . Pin *et al* (2007) Pharmacol.Rev. **59** 5. Steiger *et al* (2004) J.Neurosci. **24** 6115.

Kniazeff et al (2002) J.Neurosci. 22 7352

Robbins et al (2001) J.Neurosci. 21 8043.

Duthey et al (2002) J.Biol.Chem. 277 3236.

Urwyler et al (2001) Mol.Pharmacol. 60 963.

Dupuis et al (2006) Mol.Pharmacol. 70 2027.

Gassmann et al (2004) J.Neurosci. 24 6086.

Perez-Garci et al (2006) Neuron 50 603.

Guetg et al (2009) J.Neurosci. 29 1414.

Tiao et al (2008) J.Biol.Chem. 283 31005

Potes et al (2006) J.Neurosci.Res. 83 515.

Pan et al (2008) Pharmacol. Ther. 117 141.

Sunyer et al (2009) Hippocampus 19 90.

Teichgräber et al (2009) Epilepsia 2009 (in press).

Froestl et al (2004) Biochem. Pharmacol. 68 1479.

Smith et al (2004) Psychopharmacology 173 105.

Malherbe et al (2008) Br.J.Pharmacol. 154 797

Alstermark et al (2008) J.Med.Chem. 51 4315.

Zhang et al (2001) TiPS 22 121.

Heinmiller et al (2009) Behav.Neurosci. 123 145.

Roberts and Andrews (1997) Psychopharmacology 131 271.

Slattery et al (2005) Neuropsychopharmacology 30 2065.

Matsuki et al (2009) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 106 4459.

Bowery and Enna (2000) J.Pharmacol.Exp.Ther. 292 2.

Murata et al (1996) Bioorg.Med.Chem.Lett. 6 2071.

Ragozzino et al (1996) Mol.Pharmacol. 50 1024.

Sieghart (1995) Pharmacol.Rev. 47 181.

142. Bowery (2006) Curr.Opin.Pharmacol. 6 37.

Penn (1989) N.Engl.J.Med. **320** 1517. Ataka *et al* (2000) Pain **86** 273.

Wu et al (2007) Neurobiol.Dis. 26 439

Ullrich and Bettler (2007) Curr.Opin.Neurobiol. 17 298 .

Waldmeier et al (2008) J.Neural Transm. 115 1401.

Grace et al (2004) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 101 12836.

Galvez et al (2000) Mol.Pharmacol. 57 419.

Blein (2004) J.Biol.Chem. 279 48292

Galvez et al (2001) EMBO J. 20 2152.

Pin et al (2005) FEBS J. 272 2947

Schuler et al (2001) Neuron 31 47

Vigot et al (2006) Neuron 50 589.

Couve et (1998) J.Biol.Chem. 273 26361.

Luscher et al (1997) Neuron 19 687

Jones et al (1998) Nature 396 674.

Hill (1985) Br.J.Pharmacol. 84 249

Choi et al (2008) J.Neurosci. 28 11890.

- 98 Sundstrom-Poromaa (2002) Nature Neurosci. 5 721.
- 99. Wallner et al (2003) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 100 15218.
- 100. Borghese et al (2006) J.Pharmacol.Exp.Ther. 316 1360.

Polenzani et al (1991) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 88 4318.

Enz and Cutting (1999) Eur.J.Neurosci. 11 41. Sieghart & Ernst (2005) Curr.Med.Chem.Cent.Nerv.Sys. Agents 5 217.

Cutting et al (1991) Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 88 2673.

GABA Receptor Compounds Available from Tocris

GABA_A Receptors

Agonists GABA 0344 Endogenous agonist 0235 Isoguvacine hydrochloride Selective GABA agonist 3250 L-838,417 Subtype-selective GABA_A partial agonist 0289 Muscimol Potent GABA, agonist 0181 TACA GABA_A agonist. Also GABA-T substrate and GABA uptake inhibitor THIP hydrochloride 0807 GABA agonist 0180 ZAPA sulfate Agonist at 'low affinity' GABA, receptor. More potent than GABA/ muscimol Antagonists 0130 (+)-Bicuculline Potent GABA, antagonist 2503 (-)-Bicuculline methiodide Water-soluble GABA antagonist 0109 (-)-Bicuculline methobromide Water-soluble GABA antagonist (-)-Bicuculline methochloride 0131 Water-soluble GABA_A antagonist 3109 Furosemide GABA receptor antagonist. Also Na*/2CI/K* cotransporter blocker 1128 Picrotoxin GABA_A receptor antagonist 2143 SCS 1262 SR 95531 hydrobromide Selective, competitive GABA_A receptor antagonist 2905 TB 21007 a5-selective GABA_A inverse agonist 2745 U 93631 GABA_A receptor antagonist Benzodiazepines

3568 Bretazenil

- Benzodiazepine partial agonist
- 0405 β-CCB
- . Benzodiazepine inverse agonist, putative endogenous ligand 2467 CGS 20625
- Selective central benzodiazepine receptor partial agonist 0456 Chlormezanone
- Skeletal muscle relaxant CL 218872 1709
- Benzodiazepine agonist
- 2805 Diazepam
- Acts at the benzodiazepine modulatory site 0505 Dihydroergotoxine mesylate
- Binds to GABA_A receptor CI⁻ channel; allosteric modulator of benzodiazepine site
- 3083 DMCM hydrochloride Benzodiazepine inverse agonist
- 0554 FG 7142
- Benzodiazepine inverse agonist
- 0658 **FGIN-1-27**
- Potent, specific ligand for mitochondrial DBI receptor 0659 FGIN-1-43
- Potent, specific ligand for mitochondrial DBI receptor 1328 Flumazenil
- Benzodiazepine antagonist

- 0770 GBLD 345 High affinity benzodiazepine agonist 2174 Hispidulin Partial positive allosteric modulator at the benzodiazepine receptor 1327 L-655,708 Selective for a5-containing GABA_A receptors 3087 Lorazepam Acts at the benzodiazepine modulatory site 2832 Midazolam hydrochloride Benzodiazepine agonist 0670 PK 11195 Antagonist at peripheral benzodiazepine receptors 1997 Ro 15-4513 Benzodiazepine partial inverse agonist 1995 Ro 19-4603 Benzodiazepine inverse agonist 3655 Zaleplon Benzodiazepine agonist 1994 ZK 93423 hydrochloride Potent benzodiazepine agonist 1996 ZK 93426 hydrochloride Potent, competitive benzodiazepine antagonist 0655 Zolpidem Benzodiazepine agonist 1094 Zopiclone Benzodiazepine agonist Modulators 3653 Allopregnanolone GABA_A receptor positive allosteric modulator 3046 Alphaxalone Direct activator and potentiator of GABA, 0881 Chlormethiazole hvdrochloride Potentiates GABA_A receptor function 1471 Etomidate GABA mimetic and GABA modulatory agent 2531 Ganaxolone Potent, positive allosteric modulator of GABA_A receptors 3597 Indiplon Subtype-selective GABA_A receptor positive allosteric modulator Loreclezole hydrochloride 1295 Subtype-selective GABA_A receptor modulator Org 20599 2738 Positive allosteric modulator and direct agonist of GABA_A receptors 17-PA 2681
 - Antagonist of neurosteroid potentiation and direct gating of GABA_A 3652 Pregnanolone
 - GABA_A receptor positive allosteric modulator 0830 Primidone

 - Potentiates GABA_A receptor function SB 205384 1512
 - GABA_A receptor modulator
 - 3620 Topiramate GABA_A receptor positive allosteric modulator. Also GluR5 antagonist
 - 1558 Tracazolate hydrochloride Subtype-selective GABA_A allosteric modulator
 - U 89843A 2734
 - Positive allosteric modulator of GABA_A receptors U 90042 2733
- GABA_A receptor ligand
- 3048 Valerenic acid
- Positive allosteric modulator of GABA, receptors

GABA_R Receptors

Agonists

- (RS)-Baclofen 0417 Selective GABA_B agonist
- 0796 (R)-Baclofen
- Active enantiomer of Cat. No. 0417 0344 GABA
- Endogenous agonist 0379
- SKF 97541 Extremely potent GABA_B agonist
- Antagonists
- 1245 CGP 35348
- Brain penetrant, selective GABA_B antagonist 1247 CGP 46381
- Brain penetrant, selective $GABA_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ antagonist 1246 CGP 52432
- Potent, selective $GABA_{\rm B}$ antagonist 1088 CGP 54626 hydrochloride
- Potent, selective GABA_B antagonist

1248 CGP 55845

- Potent, selective GABA_B antagonist 0245 2-Hydroxysaclofen
- Selective GABA_B antagonist, more potent than Cat. No. 0246
- 0178 Phaclofen
- Selective GABA_B antagonist Saclofen 0246
- Selective GABA_B antagonist
- 0984 SCH 50911 Selective, competitive, orally active GABA_B antagonist

Modulators 3313 rac BHFF

- Potent, selective GABA_B positive allosteric modulator 1513 CGP 7930
- Positive modulator at GABA_B receptors CGP 13501 1514
- Positive modulator at GABA_B receptors 2001 GS 39783
- Positive modulator at GABA_B receptors

GABA_c Receptors

Agonists

- GARA 0344 Endogenous agonist
- 0289 Muscimol
- Partial GABA_c agonist 0181 TACA
- GABA_c agonist

Antagonists 0379 SKF 97541

GABA_c antagonist. Also potent GABA_B agonist THIP hydrochloride 0807 GABA_c antagonist TPMPA 1040 Selective GABAc antagonist 0180 ZAPA sulfate GABA_c antagonist

GABA Transporters

β-Alanine 0206

GABA uptake inhibitor (GAT-2 and -3). Also glycine receptor agonist 1296 CI 966

- Selective inhibitor of GAT-1 0234 Guvacine
- Specific GABA uptake inhibitor
- 0236 (±)-Nipecotic acid
- GABA uptake inhibitor NNC 05-2090 2747
- GABA uptake inhibitor; moderately BGT-1 selective 1779 **NNC 711**
- Selective inhibitor of GAT-1

- 0768 Riluzole
- GABA uptake inhibitor. Also glutamate release inhibitor SKF 89976A 1081
- Potent GABA uptake inhibitor. Penetrates blood brain barrier 1561 (S)-SNAP 5114

GABA uptake inhibitor 0181 TACA

GABA uptake inhibitor. Also GABA_A agonist and substrate for GABA-T

Miscellaneous GABA

1814	Ν	-/	٩ı	achi	doı	۱yl	GABA

- Inhibits pain in vivo 0806 Gabapentin
- Anticonvulsant, Increases brain GABA
- 0538 trans-4-Hydroxycrotonic acid
- GHB receptor ligand
- 0386 3-Methyl-GABA Activator of GABA amino-transferase
- Modafinil 1811 Psychostimulant
- 0780 NCS-382
- Antagonist of y-hydroxybutyric acid 2687 Pentylenetetrazole
- CNS stimulant

Potentiates GABA, receptor-mediated inhibition and inhibits glutamate receptor-mediated excitation RuBi-GABA 3400 Caged GABA: excitable by visible wavelength 2815 Valproic acid, sodium salt Increases GABA levels: anticonvulsant Vigabatrin GABA-T inhibitor Zonisamide Anticonvulsant, modulates GABA neurotransmission

For a complete and up-to-date product listing please visit www.tocris.com.

Tocris Reviews No. 32 ©2009 Tocris Cookson



www.tocris.com

UK: Phone: + 44 (0)117 916 3333 Fax: + 44 (0)117 916 3344 customerservice@tocris.co.uk Tocris House, IO Centre, Moorend Farm Avenue, Avonmouth, Bristol, BS11 OQL, UK

US: Phone: 800-421-3701 Fax: 800-483-1993 customerservice@tocrisusa.com 16144 Westwoods Business Park, Ellisville, Missouri 63021 USA

- 0939 Propofol

 - 0808 2625